## \*284IHSSF1092\*



DocumentID

NONCD0002815

Site Name

CAROLINA CHEMICAL CORP (FORMER)

DocumentType

Ranking (RANK)

RptSegment

1

DocDate

7/22/2008

DocRcvd

7/22/2008

Вох

SF1092

AccessLevel

**PUBLIC** 

Division

WASTE MANAGEMENT

Section

**SUPERFUND** 

Program

IHS (IHS)

DocCat

**FACILITY** 

# INACTIVE SITES RANKING SYSTEM SUMMARY SHEET



Caroling

Site Name: Cardinal Chemical Corporation

Location: Wilson, Wilson County

ID Number: NO NCD 000 2815

Ranked By: Sue Robbins Date: 06/30-7/3/08

Reviewed By: Ginny Henderson Date: 07/22/08

#### Site Description/Comments:

The site was formerly used by several former wholesale agrichemical supply companies until 1996. Pesticide mixing was performed on-site. Many containers in and around the former pesticide formulation building were noted to be in poor condition, leaking or open. Pesticide contamination, including DDT, DDD and dieldrin and metals, including arsenic, has been found in soils and groundwater.

Route Scores:

$$GW = 65.18$$

$$SW = 41.90$$

$$A = 0.00$$

$$P = 25.00$$

Total Score:

$$\frac{((65.18)^2 + (41.90)^2 + (0.00)^2 + (25.00)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(25.00)^2} = \frac{40.71}{2}$$

#### I. GROUND WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET

Rating Factor	Assigned Value	Score
Ç	(Circle One)	

#### A. Route Characteristics

1. Depth to Water Table

0 2 4 6 8 10

2. Net Precipitation

0 1 2 3

3. Hydraulic Conductivity

0 1 2 3

4. Physical State

0 1 2 3

		Total Route Characteristics Score	14
B.	Containment	0 1 2 3	3

#### C. Waste Characteristics

1. Toxicity/Persistence

0 3 6 9 12 15 18

2. Hazardous Waste Quantity

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

T-4-1	Wests Observation Course	22
II Total	Waste Characteristics Score	23

### Ground Water Route of Migration Score

The Ground Water Route of Migration Score is obtained by multiplying lines A, B, and C and dividing this by 14.82 to give a score between 0 and 100.

Total Ground Water Route of Migration Score: 65.18

#### II. SURFACE WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Score	
A. Route Characteristics			
Facility Slope and     Intervening Terrain	0 1 2 3		
2. 1-yr., 24-hour Rainfall	0 1 2 3		
3. Distance to Nearest Surface Water	0 2 4 6 8 10		
4. Physical State	0 1 2 3		
	Total Route Chara	acteristics Score	9
B. Containment	0 1 2 3		3

#### C. Waste Characteristics

1. Toxicity/Persistence

0 3 6 9 12 15 18

2. Hazardous Waste Quantity

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

	i — —
Total Waste Characteristics Score	23
Total Waste Characteristics Score	23

## Surface Water Route of Migration Score

The Surface Water Route of Migration Score is obtained by multiplying lines A, B, and C and dividing this by 14.82 to give a score between 0 and 100.

Total Surface Water Route of Migration Score: 41.90

### III. AIR ROUTE WORK SHEET

		<del></del>
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Score
A. Waste Characteristics		
Reactivity and     Incompatibility	0 1 2 3	
2. Toxicity	0 3 6 9	
3. Hazardous Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	
	Total W	aste Characteristics Score
B. Targets		
<ol> <li>Population Within a 4-Mile Radius</li> </ol>	0 9 12 15 18 21 24 27 30	
2. Distance to Sensitive Environment	0 2 4 6	
3. Land Use	0 1 2 3	
	Т	Cotal Targets Score

## Air Route of Migration Score

The Air Route of Migration Score is obtained by multiplying lines A and B and dividing this by 7.80 to give a score between 0 and 100.

Total Air Route of Migration Score:

0.00

#### IV. DIRECT CONTACT ROUTE SCORE SHEET

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Score	
A. Residential Population 1. Toxicity	0 3 6 9		
2. Targets			
a) High Risk Population (count x 8, max. 100)	0		
b) Total Resident Population (count x 2, max. 100)	0		
c) Sensitive Environment	0 10 15 20 25		
Resident Target Score (lines 2a + 2b + 2c, max. 100)	0Total Residenti	al Population Score	0
B. Nearby Population			
Likelihood of Exposure     (matrix score)			
a) Area of Contamination	0 25 50 75 100		
b) Accessibility/ Frequency of Use	5 25 50 75 100		
2. Toxicity	0 3 6 9		
3. Targets (max. 100)			
	Total Nearby	Population Score	450

Overall Population Exposure Score

The Overall Population Exposure Score is determined by adding lines A and B and dividing this by 18 to give a score between 0 and 100.

Total Population Exposure Route of Migration Score: 25.00

### DOCUMENTATION RECORDS FOR STATE HAZARD RANKING SYSTEM

INSTRUCTIONS: Briefly summarize the information you used to assign a score to each factor and document the source of the information and/or the rationale for each score.

Facility Name:	Carolina Chemical Corporation		
ID Number:	NO NCD 000 2815		
Location:	Wilson, Wilson County		
Date Scored:	07/3/08		
Person Scoring:	Sue Robbins		
Factors Not Scored:	Air Route and Residential Population		

#### Comments:

#### References:

- 1. State File.
- 2. <u>North Carolina Atlas</u>, University of NC Press, Chapel Hill, NC, 1975.
- 3. <u>Rainfall Frequency Atlas of the US</u>, Technical Paper 40, US Department of Commerce, Washington, DC, 1963.
- 4. <u>2000 Census of Population and Housing: Summary Population and Housing Characteristics: North Carolina</u>, US Department of Commerce. <u>http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/</u>.
- 5. <u>Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials</u>, N. Irving Sax, Van Reinhold Company, Inc., 1984.
- 6. 40 CFR 300, Appendix A, July 1, 1988.

# GROUND WATER ROUTE

A.	Rout	Route Characteristics:				
	1.	Depth to Water Table:				
		8: Ranges from 4.71 to 8.50 feet. Contamination in groundwater	(1			
	2.	Net Precipitation:				
		1: $46 - 41 = 5$ inches	(2)			
	3.	Hydraulic Conductivity of Unsaturated Zone:				
		2: $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm/}_{\text{sec}}$	(1)			
	4.	Physical State:				
r		3: Liquid pesticide	(1)			
B.	Cont	Containment:				
	3:	Leaking, breached containers were noted on site	. (1)			
C.	Wast	te Characteristics:				
	1.	Toxicity/Persistence:				
		18: DDT 3/3; Dieldrin 3/3; Chlordane 3/3	(1,5)			
	2.	Hazardous Waste Quantity:				
		5: Unknown	ar.			

# SURFACE WATER ROUTE

A.	Route	e Chara	acteristics:			
	1.	Facil	lity Slope and Intervening Terrain:			
		0:	Facility slope < 1%; intervening terrain = 0.56%	(1)		
	2.	One-	year 24-hour Rainfall:			
		2:	3.0 to 3.5 inches	(3)		
	3.	Dista	ance to Nearest Surface Water/Name:			
		4:	2,000 feet to Hominy Swamp	(1)		
	4.	Physi	ical State:			
		3:	Liquid pesticide	(1)		
B.	Conta	ainment	t:			
	3:	Leak	ing, breached containers were noted on site.	(1)		
C.	Waste	Waste Characteristics:				
	1.	Toxio	city/Persistence:			
		18:	DDT 3/3; Dieldrin 3/3; Chlordane 3/3	(1,5)		
	2.	Haza	rdous Waste Quantity:			
		5:	Unknown	(1)		

# AIR ROUTE

A.	Waste	Characteristics: Not Scored
	1.	Reactivity and Incompatibility:
	2.	Toxicity:
	3.	Hazardous Waste Quantity:
B.	Targe	ts:
	1.	Population within 4-mile Radius/Distance from Hazardous Substance:
	2.	Distance to Sensitive Environment:
	3.	Land Use:

## POPULATION EXPOSURE ROUTE

A.	Resi	dential Population: Not Scored.	
	1.	Toxicity:	
	2.	Targets:	
		a. High Risk Population:	
		b. Total Resident Population:	
		c. Sensitive Environment:	٠
В.	Near	by Population:	
	1.	Likelihood of Exposure Score: 0.5	
		a. Area of Contamination:	
		50: 1.16 acre site	(1)
		b. Accessibility/Frequency of Use:	
		75: Fence shown on part of property, do not know if it is continuous	(1)
	2.	Toxicity:	
		9: DDE - 3; DDD - 3; Chlordane - 3	(1,5)
	3.	Targets: 0.1 ( $\underline{1,734.8}$ )+ 0.05 ( $\underline{4,246.1}$ ) = $\underline{385.8 = 100 \text{ max}}$	
		a. $0 - \frac{1}{2}$ mile: 3.14 $(0.5^2)$ $\times 2.210$ people/ <sub>sq.mi</sub> = 1.734.8	(4)
		b. $\frac{1}{2} - 1$ mile: $3.14 (1^2 - 0.5^2) \times 1.803$ people/ <sub>sq.mi.</sub> = 4.246.1	(4)